

Appendix 2- Example of an Impact Frame for a collaborative project promoting greater equity in water stewardship in Canada

Dimension	Change Component	Indicators
Who? Who are we trying to reach (e.g. demographics, geographic, specific communities)	Elected leaders from     Indigenous and municipal     communities across Canada	<ul> <li># of communities participating in CLI initiatives</li> <li>% of participating communities represented by an elected leader at each CLI meeting (80%)</li> </ul>
What? What will be achieved through the intervention(s)? What are the expected changes on people's lives and / or the environment? (e.g. changes in behavior, access to services, policy change)	Collaboration is normalized as a feasible and constructive pathway for Indigenous and municipal leaders to address intractable water challenges facing their communities.	<ul> <li># of successful collaborative water governance initiatives established through the CLI process</li> <li># of collaborative agreements created because of the initiatives</li> <li>% of participating leaders who intend to continue collaborating at the end of the formal CLI process</li> </ul>
How much, at what rate? How much change is expected? In what time frame? (increase in restoration, decrease in discrimination)	Best practices, financial support, and enabling policy/legislation have all increased sufficiently by 2026 to reduce barriers and increase opportunities for Indigenous and municipal communities to pursue collaborative water governance.	<ul> <li># of annual downloads of CLI resources from public platform</li> <li>\$\$ of new funding committed by the federal government to support Indigenous-municipal collaboration on water issues</li> <li># of new policy or legislative provisions that enable collaboration between Indigenous and municipal communities</li> </ul>
What is donor's investment contribution? What is a particular donor's contribution towards generating the change? What is the additionality? What can be done because of the investment? (e.g. scale otherwise not possible, momentum generated, direct and indirect leverage)	<ul> <li>An initial investment of \$5.2 million over five years that will be leveraged to secure:</li> <li>Matched funds over the life of the project.</li> <li>Long-term funding to support scaling beyond the life of the project.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>\$ of matched funds leveraged by 2026</li> <li>\$ of federal funding secured beyond 2026</li> </ul>

## What risks?

What are the risks to not achieving the anticipated impact? Are there unanticipated outcomes that could arise through the intervention?

- Communities do not have the capacity to participate in the CLI process.
- The CLI model lacks the transferability needed to achieve widespread adoption.
- % of communities wishing to participate in CLI initiatives but unable to commit / proceed
- Lack of buy in and appetite from new jurisdictions and communities interested in and adopting the CLI model
- Site-specific evaluations indicate lack of effectiveness of the model